

**SIGMA LAMBDA UPSILON/
SEÑORITAS LATINAS UNIDAS
SORORITY, INC.,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**RECTOR AND VISITORS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, et al.,**

Defendants.

**DEFENDANT TURNER'S
ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

I. Introduction

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- Case 3:18-cv-00085-GEC Document 20 Filed 07/01/19 Page 1 of 11 Pageid#: 124

2. Turner admits that SLU seeks a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and FRCP 57. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct for which a declaratory judgment may be entered.
3. Turner admits that SLU seeks injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and FRCP 65. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct for which injunctive relief may be granted.
4. Turner admits that venue is proper in this Court. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct.

III. Parties

5. Turner admits that, at all times relevant to the First Amended Complaint, SLU maintained a Chapter at the University of Virginia (the “University”). Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 5 and therefore denies them.
6. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 6.
7. Turner admits that Frank M. Conner III is the University’s Rector. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.
8. Turner admits that Patricia M. Lampkin is the University’s Vice President and Chief Student Affairs Officer and is a resident of Virginia. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.
9. Turner admits that J. Marshall Pattie was, at times relevant to the First Amended Complaint, an Associate Dean of Students at the University and a resident of Virginia. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.

10. Turner admits that he is the University's Assistant Dean and Director of Fraternity and Sorority Life and is a resident of Virginia. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10.
11. Turner admits that Caroline Wagner, formerly Caroline Ott, is a Program Coordinator in the University's Office of Fraternity and Sorority Life and is a Virginia resident. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 11.
12. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 12 and therefore denies them.

IV. Facts

13. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 13.
14. The allegations in Paragraph 14 are a legal conclusion that Turner is not required to admit or deny, and Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct.
15. The allegations in Paragraph 15 are a legal conclusion that Turner is not required to admit or deny, and Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct.
16. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 16.
17. Turner admits that SLU formerly had the policy described in Paragraph 17.
18. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 18 and therefore denies them.
19. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 19 and therefore denies them.
20. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 20 and therefore denies them.

21. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 21 and therefore denies them.
22. Turner admits that the University began an investigation on or about February 14, 2018. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 22.
23. Turner admits that the University conducted an investigation. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 23.
24. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 24.
25. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 25.
26. Turner admits that an investigation was conducted. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 26.
27. Turner admits that SLU members were interviewed during the investigation. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 27.
28. Turner admits that, pursuant to the University's protocol and consistent with Virginia law, the local law enforcement agency and the local Commonwealth's Attorney were notified that the University had received a report implicating the Virginia hazing statute and the University's hazing policy and that it was conducting an investigation. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 28.
29. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 29.
30. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 30.
31. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 31.
32. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 32.
33. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 33.
34. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 34.

35. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 35.
36. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 36.
37. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 37.
38. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 38.
39. Turner admits that SLU's 25-hour per week commitment was one of the factors in the University's finding that SLU's overall requirements and practices violated University policy. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 39. Answering further, Turner states that additional factors supported the University's finding.
40. Turner admits that studying 25 hours per week is not uncommon at the University or at other colleges and universities. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 40.
41. Turner admits the allegations in Paragraph 41.
42. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 42 and therefore denies them.
43. Turner does not have sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 43 and therefore denies them.
44. Turner admits that the men's basketball team traveled to Nassau, Bahamas in November 2018 and played in the "Battle 4 Atlantis" tournament, a tournament occurring during the University's Thanksgiving holiday break. Turner admits that neither the basketball program nor any of the players engaged in hazing as a result of participation in this activity. Turner denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 44.
45. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 45.
46. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 46. Answering further, Turner states that the University has no policy prohibiting specific study hours.

47. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 47.

48. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 48. Answering further, Turner states that SLU has submitted an approved Articles of Pledging, permitting SLU to commence a new member education program.

49. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 49.

50. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 50.

51. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 51.

52. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 52. Answering further, Turner states that SLU has submitted an approved Articles of Pledging, permitting SLU to commence a new member education program.

53. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 53.

54. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 54.

55. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 55.

56. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 56.

Count 1

57. Turner restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-56 above, as if fully stated in this Paragraph.

58. The allegations in Paragraph 58 are a legal conclusion that Turner is not required to admit or deny. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct in violation of the First Amendment.

59. Turner admits that SLU engages in certain activities protected by the First Amendment. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct in violation of the First Amendment or otherwise contrary to SLU's rights.

60. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 60.

61. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 61.

62. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 62.

Count 2

63. Turner restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-62 above, as if fully stated in this Paragraph.

64. The allegations in Paragraph 64 are a legal conclusion that Turner is not required to admit or deny. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.

65. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 65.

66. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 66.

67. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 67.

Count 3

68. Turner restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-67 above, as if fully stated in this Paragraph.

69. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 69.

70. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 70.

71. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 71.

Count 4

72. Turner restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-71 above, as if fully stated in this Paragraph.

73. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct or that there is any continuing violation of law. Turner therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 73.

74. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct or that there is any continuing violation of law. Turner therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 74.

75. Turner denies that he has engaged in any unlawful action or conduct or that there is any continuing violation of law. Turner therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 75.

76. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 76.

77. Turner denies the allegations in Paragraph 77.

Count 5

78. SLU does not assert this Count against Turner, and he is not required to respond.

79. SLU does not assert this Count against Turner, and he is not required to respond.

80. SLU does not assert this Count against Turner, and he is not required to respond.

81. SLU does not assert this Count against Turner, and he is not required to respond.

Prayer for Relief

Turner denies that SLU is entitled to any relief requested in the Prayer for Relief, including subparts (a) through (e). Answering further, Turner states that SLU has submitted Articles of Pledging, which were approved, and that SLU is not suspended from the University.

Jury Demand

Turner admits that the First Amended Complaint seeks a trial by jury, but denies that a trial is proper or warranted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. Eleventh Amendment immunity bars all official capacity claims.
2. Turner's qualified immunity bars all individual capacity claims.
3. SLU's First Amended Complaint fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

4. Turner fully complied with the First Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986; and 20 U.S.C. § 1681.
5. Turner expressly denies engaging in any act or omission giving rise to SLU's claims for relief and asserts that he performed his duties in good faith and with reasonable grounds for believing that his conduct was not a violation of the First Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986; and 20 U.S.C. § 1681.
6. SLU has no monetary damages and, to the extent that SLU has incurred any damages, Turner reserves the right to assert that SLU has failed to mitigate its damages.
7. Turner denies having any wrongful or discriminating motivation with respect to SLU and asserts that the actions of which SLU complains were taken for lawful reasons independent of any wrongful motivation.
8. Turner asserts that the University's policies and practices, as applied to SLU, are based on legitimate non-discriminatory reasons other than the gender of the members of SLU.
9. Turner reserves the right to assert and rely on any other affirmative defenses that may be established during discovery.

WHEREFORE, having answered the First Amended Complaint, Turner respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in Turner's favor, dismiss the claims against Turner with prejudice, award Turner his reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and grant him such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

EDGAR HALCOTT TURNER

By: /s/ Sandra S. Gregor
Counsel

Mark R. Herring
Attorney General of Virginia

Samuel T. Towell
Deputy Attorney General

*Sandra S. Gregor (VSB No. 47421)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
202 North 9th Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 786-1586 Telephone
(804) 371-2087 Facsimile
sgregor@oag.state.va.us

*Sheri H. Kelly (VSB No. 82219)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
204 Abingdon Place
Abingdon, Virginia 24211
(276) 628-2964 Telephone
(276) 628-4375 Facsimile
skelly@oag.state.va.us
**Counsel of Record for Defendant Edgar Halcott Turner*

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that on this 1st day of July, 2019, a true copy of the forgoing was served by electronic means through the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel as follows:

Sheridan England, Esq.
S.L. England, PLLC
1050 Connecticut Ave NW #500
Washington DC 20036
(202) 572-1020
Sheridan@slengland.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

James Granoski, Esq.
Law Office of James A. Granoski
600 Cameron Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 300-2786
James@JamesGranoski.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

By: /s/ Sandra S. Gregor